A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY **ATTEST**

By Teresa Bishop on Apr 29, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL nη MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Apr 14, 2010

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: LEVAQUIN PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1943

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-23)

On June 13, 2008, the Panel transferred seven civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 560 F.Supp.2d 1384 (J.P.M.L. 2008). Since that time, 62 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Minnesota. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable John R. Tunheim.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Minnesota and assigned to Judge Tunheim.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Minnesota for the reasons stated in the order of June 13, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable John R. Tunheim.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Apr 29, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

sheet (s)

MDL No. 1943

SCHEDULE CTO-23 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. D	<u>IV.</u>	C.A.#	CASE CAPTION
CALIFOI CAC 2		A CENTRAL 10-1929	Mark Semos, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN :	3	10-41	James Willis v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10-190/
CAN :	3	10-42	Christine Ellen Bell v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10-1908
CAN :	3	10-43	Joy Allen v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10 - 1909
CAN :	3	10-44	William F. Slattery v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10 – 1910 Tammy L. Hoffmann v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 0 – 1911 Iris Berman v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10 – 1912 Jet
CAN :	3	10-45	Tammy L. Hoffmann v. Johnson & Johnson, et al 10 - 1911
CAN :	3	10-46	Iris Berman v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.
CAN :	3	10-47	Iris Berman v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10-1912 Charles R. Hoover v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10-1913 Marving Heats v. Johnson & Johnson et al. 10-1913
CAN 4	4	10-119	Marvina Hoots v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10 - 19 14 SVET
COLORADO			
CO 1		10-315	Bonnie Schriner v. Johnson & Johnson, et al. 10 - 1915 JRT